



Feedback Form - Data Analysis

Do you believe the Mission is appropriate for Bellarine Bayside?



Number of respondents: 94

Do you think the draft plan reflects the Mission?



Number of respondents: 86

Suggestions / Comments:

Number of respondents that **did not** add suggestions / comments: 79

Excellent mission

It will take 9 years to complete (this plan)

Benchmark (actions) against AU standards

I do not think fencing off the beach from residents/visitors is "enhancing" the foreshore. 2m planting will destroy views.

Better land mgmt. Less commercial dev. Repair drains & walking tracks to beach, repair steps, replant & maintain. Too few toilets

(The Mission is) Not Required / Irrelevant

Don't agree with suggested height of vegetation to be planted b/w walkway & beach at Main Beach, & up to road in other areas. Lower plants would be better.

The ideas & aims sound good its just a matter of knowing the details & how & when they are put into action.

Do not remove all trees at Batman Park, give the sites a bit of shade.

Mission or Vision statements are mainly over-rated PR exercises. Fairly meaningless in the long term.

The mission is very general - it does not state exactly what will be done, just general ideas.

The heritage items e.g.. Ozone, Boatsheds, Memorial, Community Hall should be given historic value.

Needs a stronger language about working with the local community - involvement, co-operation. Feedback is essential to enable the mission to be effective...

No mention of maintenance of the facilities, at this stage the facilities are provided but there is a problem with maintenance. Agree with mission - but just dots on the map

An excellent Mission

Enhance is a nonsense when BB struggles to keep the area clean and tidy. High standard facilities is a joke too - toilets stink and doors don't lock - rather see practical actions than dreams

Not enough vehicle access to main (camping area) beach for local residents (Portarlinton)

The mission should be to protect the foreshore & enhance the natural environment. There is no need to promote tourism.

Bellarine Bayside cannot deliver on the mission as Geelong Council needs complimentary planning.

Cleanliness of the foreshore - not just in the caravan parks. The weed near the pier is an absolute disgrace. The drains also need to be cleared probably more regularly.

I point out that whilst there is need to provide reasonable facilities for the visiting public, local community are the ones you will have to live with. There needs to be more detail as to how to balance the competing objectives of foreshore uses of community, tourist and environment. This is a generalised mission e.g. "provide high standard"???

Mission for Indented Head should be to allow I.H. to remain a quiet backwater. That is its charm.

It is impossible to imagine the situation in our area in 10 years time. However, a start should be made to develop tourism and this plan seems to be a good starting point.

I believe that the greatest importance be placed on the local community.

I agree with the mission but believe there should have been some reference to the IH community hall which I believe is a major facility for the community

(The Mission) Should protect local community rights

I feel BB has struggled to enhance / maintain all foreshore reserves adequately in the past, I don't feel at the moment that this will change in the future

By putting fences and bollards all over the foreshore is not enhancing the foreshore in anyway - merely ruining the vista.

Mission has not taken into account rising sea levels and storm surge, for protection of existing foreshore and reclamation. No foreshore - No mission. Immediate action should be taken on reclaiming sand washed into the bay - not left to Parks VIC

The availability of much of the readily available beach to residents especially children is compromised by the fencing off much of it for the inferred use of camping ground residents only

Tim Page-Walker should resign and his entourage Portarlington Business Development Association should have no say in the running of the foreshore and crown land we will end up with another Queenscliff debacle their conflict of interest is dangerous and arrogant

No mention of maintenance and care of the IH Hall - Why not? Hall parking area also needs upgrade. These are in conflict with the words "provide high quality facilities for local community"

Top priority 1. protect our beaches, 2. control weeds, 3. extend storm water drains out to sea, 4. improve and extend walking / riding tracks. Because without first doing this there is no point improving the foreshore

BB in the past has spent too much looking after caravan parks instead of doing improvements that could be of enjoyment for all residents. An organisation run by people of self interest to themselves. Too large a mission for you to handle.

The mission is an obvious statement but maybe will turn the area into more of the same & it was never meant to be the same. There has to be a limit to growth for growths sake. The area was known for the unspoilt appearance

Correct meeting with the people of the Bellarine Community. No consulting to community. To support and work together as one. To maintain natural foreshore to work with mother nature not to waste money

You are catering for less than 1% of the year and you will ruin the picturesque IH area. Etc There is not enough detail - nor do you listen to what the locals want or need

Get off the public service lingo and get on with the job we pay you to do

You are reducing access to several popular beaches. This reduces the appeal of an easy day at the beach thus reducing tourism. The foreshore is more than a caravan park

The removal of the toilet block near the boat club hall and refusal to provide a permanent replacement in the same place using existing plumbing, combined with the proposed demolition of the boatshed to make room for another toilet block will reduce the amenity of the neighbouring boat sheds, shows contempt for the community and contradicts the mission

It will not (be viable to) continue to increase camp fees & other fees to fund unnecessary capital works & the committee will be more accountable & transparent as per mission statement

No mention of addressing values & needs of the residents - High standard facilities are not all that necessary. What about preserving & promoting local heritage and culture


I think the facilities should be provided at lowest possible cost especially for local communities

I am concerned that some of the non indigenous trees that have cultural heritage may be removed. i.e.. The 100 yo cypress trees at Indented Head which provide some of the charm, shelter and shade that make IH a nice place to live

BB need to remember that they are responsible for this foreshore and the coastal strategy implementation which is first & foremost in mission


Lots more tall trees less grasses. Financing the watering of any new plants. (Bellarine Bayside COM) Meetings open to the public at all times. We moved to Port because of what it is a country fishing town with character there are a lot of basic things to fix first such as the drains onto the beach

Do you believe the Values are appropriate for Bellarine Bayside?



Number of respondents: 88

Do you think the draft plan reflects the Values?



Number of respondents: 81

Suggestions / Comments:

Number of respondents that **did not** add suggestions / comments: 82

Well thought out (values)

Where's the money coming from?

(values) Lacks substance / timeframes

Yes - (I agree with the values)

Wasted money on flashy ill-conceived product. What will BB do when DSE study conflicts with this proposal?

Too much emphasis on commercial values, less on enviro & public amenities. Why does a public amenity have to be run a business.

Believe the walk path should be simple, unsealed & retain a relaxed feel, weaving through the existing trees.

Revenue allocations seem to be unnecessary - barriers along the foreshore. Changes to the sand area with high tides & wind will send sand & water over these areas.

Local residents and short term day visitors receive no mention in the Values, but staff & caravanners / campers are specifically included. Is this significant?

None of the items listed could be listed as values

I don't understand the question

Sites in Batman Park need electric poles replaced. Site prices are going up this year and are far too expensive for what they get on site.

Local people living in area? (are not included in the value statements)

1. Retain a well managed caravan park. 2. Public Toilet facilities on the beach - where the original toilet was (Indented Head). 3.No walkway in front of either IH boat or yacht clubs.

These are not values but rather departments or sections. None of these are referred to specifically within the vision document.

Hope all of these values will be a priority however ratepayers should have their views listened to and both "BB" + locals should learn to listen to each other.

No value of working with the community. The values are biased towards an inward focus. There is the need to develop pro-active plans and activities. e.g., clean up beaches - Australia Day

Simply nice sounding phrases. There is no detail as to how these values are going to be achieved, maintained and evaluated as to effectiveness.

Environment values top priority

I believe your definitions of 'values' is wrong. I would have used the term 'responsibilities'

BB Mgmt seems to think its all about making the whole township a camping ground - money grab. People move here b/c of its rural atmosphere and beaches then they want to commercialise it.

The values are those of business not of a properly executed foreshore committee.

Words are not values, values must be statements of what the organisation stands for in terms of both its employees and the communities it serves.

Don't let the business and financial strategy overrule your obligation to the local public and community.

I do not think the term "values" relates logically to Administrative issues e.g. Committee/Staff - Finances. In the same way it relates to environment and cultural heritage. Not enough emphasis on "valuing" community input in the planning of the Strategy and foreshore development. B.B. needs to engage community before implementation to avoid repeating mistakes of the past.

Again to date these have not been demonstrated e.g. collected revenue does not feed back to Indented Head. Re-environment - trees cut - foreshore hurried, using non trained horticulturists - mess left behind.

As the future unfolds so will this plan require amendments and fine tuning. It appears that the plan will lend itself to redrafting and if so, it must be a good starting point.

These are headings - say nothing about meaning of values

Don't forget about the local community

The towns of St Leonards, IH and Portarlington in particular the later have evolved over many decades of being places for a very affordable family Christmas holiday destination. I believe this value is gradually eroding.

If values are for the good of the people with no ulterior motives or other vested interests then they can only be acceptable

Not to lose sight of the main value of the area, which to me is the seaside village atmosphere

You have none

We would have thought that the Hall is a crown asset

To many values for you to handle

Not in the "know" enough to comment but it seems to be more paper talk, do draft plans and mission statements ever reflect the values of the residents and how much of them is ever acted on? It appears an academic exercise

No - they (Bellarine Bayside) are spending in the wrong way. This is not the Geelong waterfront it is a rural town & the foreshore should be left this way. We like (Portarlington) as a place for its rural atmosphere, spend money in a better way

What about the value of the local community. As well as the visiting day public

The values seem confused. There appears to be contradiction between a desire to preserve the environment and a desire to enhance it. The values pay lip service to environmental concerns while seeming to express an agenda of both urbanisation and commoditisation of the foreshore

Overall well balanced - More accountable transparent and better corporate governance

Too broad to answer on this form. Some values - Yes , most No

I feel the plan covers off most issues. However I would like some guarantee on the future of the yacht club in the area and I'm worried I cannot walk my dog without the threat of being fined.

BB should be looking at its values as a town partner, which means a valuable asset of working with the ratepayers and being harmonious with the towns human faces i.e. PCA Bus Assoc, n/hood comms. It has been your obvious jackbooting that have raised the wrath of the people all too often which flows through the staff in their dealings with the towns people also


Environment should be first on list. Stone walls to stop effects of channel deepening + global warming at very low points in St Leonards. Plant trees - less tarring of car parks.

The 10 Values appear to be areas of management "Values" = integrity, transparent governance, honesty etc

These are not values. They are aspects of the plan. Values would describe how the BB operates, not what it operates on.


Entire Foreshore Proposals – all areas

Environmental Management – erosion control, cultural heritage protection, tree asset mgmt, indigenous species re-vegetation, pest plants & animal mgmt, beach access / coastal trampling mgmt




Number of respondents: 84
No View: 1

Infrastructure, Trails & Interpretation – tables, chairs, foreshore walking & cycle trail, boardwalks / bridges, signage & interpretation



Number of respondents: 84
No View: 3


Vehicle Management – roadside parking, designated car parks, removal of indiscriminant vehicle movements over foreshore



Number of respondents: 82
No View: 6


Point Richards Flora & Fauna Reserve

Flora & Fauna Mgmt - re-vegetation of indigenous species, pest plants & animals



Number of respondents: 71
No View: 15


Infrastructure – eco-toilet, BBQ & shelter, lookouts & bird hide



Number of respondents: 70
No View: 12


Point Richards

Boat Launching Facility – ramp, jetty, sand trap, dredging, lights, nav aids




Number of respondents: 69
No View: 17

Boat Trailer Parking – car & trailer parking, wash down, user pay tolling



Number of respondents: 69
No View: 18


Rotary Children's Park – rail extension, platforms, BBQ's & shelters, tables, playgrounds, shedding



Number of respondents: 70
No View: 14


Portarlington

Roads & Car Parks – road realignment, formalised parking, traffic mgmt



Number of respondents: 71
No View: 17


Portarlington Safe Harbour Master Plan – undertake findings of the Parks Victoria plan (land based)



Number of respondents: 71
No View: 18


Steeles Rock

Infrastructure - view platform



Number of respondents: 70
No View: 18


Boat Launching Facility – car & trailer parking



Number of respondents: 72
No View: 19

Grassy Point West


Dog Play Park – off leash interactive dog play park, car park



Number of respondents: 76
No View: 12

Grassy Point East


Wetlands – ephemeral wetland mgmt, boat platforms, tree mgmt



Number of respondents: 75
No View: 11


White Woman Rock

Wetlands – ephemeral wetland mgmt, boat platforms, tree mgmt



Number of respondents: 72
No View: 11


Infrastructure – eco-toilet, tables, chairs, BBQ & shelter



Number of respondents: 72
No View: 10


Half Moon Bay

Trails – ‘all access’ beach platform, beach access ramp



Number of respondents: 73
No View: 8


Infrastructure – playgrounds, tables, chairs, BBQ's & shelters



Number of respondents: 73
No View: 7

Indented Head Main Beach


Infrastructure – public toilets



Number of respondents: 82
No View: 5


Wrathall Reserve

Boat Trailer Parking – car & trailer parking, wash down, user pay tolling




Number of respondents: 81
No View: 9

Infrastructure – public toilets, bocce court, tables, chairs, memorial



Number of respondents: 77
No View: 9


Roads & Car Parks – road realignment & extension, formalised car & bus parking, traffic mgmt



Number of respondents: 76
No View: 12


Red Bluff

Interpretation – cultural heritage




Number of respondents: 71
No View: 21

Infrastructure – boardwalk, lookout, tables, chairs



Number of respondents: 72
No View: 11


Roads & Car Parks – road, formalised car & bus parking, traffic mgmt



Number of respondents: 74
No View: 16

St Leonards


Infrastructure – public toilets, tables, chairs, BBQ & shelter, car parking, beach access ramp



Number of respondents: 72
No View: 14

Osbourn Park


Infrastructure – memorial, tables, chairs



Number of respondents: 69
No View: 19


St Leonards Boat Launching Facility

Boat Trailer Parking – car & trailer parking, wash down, user pay tolling



Number of respondents: 69
No View: 15


Infrastructure – upgrade toilets, tables, chairs, boardwalk / bridge



Number of respondents: 64
No View: 13


St Leonards Short Term Holiday Park

Short Term Holiday Park – rationalisation of caravan & camping reserve, removable infrastructure



Number of respondents: 70
No View: 24


Infrastructure – public toilets, tables, chairs, playground, BBQ's & shelters



Number of respondents: 69
No View: 17

Lower Bluff

Infrastructure – memorial, lookout



Number of respondents: 69
No View: 25

Origin of feedback

